Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/674,910	MALONE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sherali Ishrat	2621

All Participants:

(1) *Sherali Ishrat*.

(2) *Gregory M. Howison*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *29 May 2005*   **Time:** *4:30 PM*

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant   ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes   ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
NA

Claims discussed:
*12*

Prior art documents discussed:
NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

_____   _____
(Examiner/SPE Signature)   (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Applicant's Attorney that based on the amendment and persuasive arguments claims are in condition for allowance. However independent claim 12 recites "native image" which is not described in the specification, claim should recite image and in claim 12, line 3, claim recites "which said capture device". Claim should recite said capture device and claim 11 and 18 are new claims and should be indicated that they are new claims. Applicant's Attorney agreed to above corrections by an examiner's amendment.